UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD003308 **NEW LIMITATION CHANGE** TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; Sep 1952. Other requests shall be referred to Office of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5660. **AUTHORITY** ONR ltr, 26 Oct 1977

Reproduced by

Services Technical Information Agency DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED

3308

Further Studies of Attitude Measurement by a Vord Association Technique*

Paul G. Nordlie and Charles N. Cofer

University of Maryland

In a previous investigation, Havron (2,3) shows: that two of the attitudes or varies measured by the Aliport-Vernon Study of Values could be assessed by a simple work association procedure. The present study was designed to explore the applicability of the technique to the measurement of other attitudes. To this end, word association triplets were developed which were inought to be related to attitudes of rangellism-conservatim, and to attitudes of authoritarianism-equalitarianism. The two sets of triplets were combined into a single test (together with neutral triplets), and the test was administered along with scales for the appropriate attitudes.

The Continuum of Andicalism-Conservation

Pais attitude was chosen because of its general interest and because there was already available an instrument for the measurement of this continuum against which the word association triplets could be validated. The instrument used as a criterion measure was Lontz's C-R Opinionaire (Form K) (5). The reliability of this opionaire is reported to be *837, and Heists (4) that shown that people differentiated by the C-R Opionaire also differ significantly from one another in many other characteristics. Heists's study, while it perhaps does not directly velidate the Opinionaire as a measure of radicalism-conservation, offers much indirect evidence that the C-R Opinionaire is valid.

The items in the Opinionaire are designed to tap, in some way, the person's attitudes toward change. The conservative and of the continuous implies opposition and resistance to change and skepticism as to its possibility. The radical end, however, implies the desirability and possibility of drastic and speedy change (cf., Lentz, 5).

The word association triplet technique consists in presenting a stimulus word together with two response words. The subject is to draw a line from the stimulus word to the response word which he thinks goes best with the stimulus word. Consider the following triplet:

Different

BE

VAOLERO

On the easis of a priori considerations one could infer that the conservative would draw a line from the stimulus word <u>BE</u> to the response word <u>Average</u>, whereas the radical would draw the line to <u>Different</u>.

It is not consider, however, to design triplets on a priori busis which will have experistly. There, triplets on a property transfer to a confident they will work, retained, see the constant triplets here developed and about the inventority transfer inventority transfer in the inventority transfer.

^{* 118 10} Poch 12:1 I cort lies als preserve union large to the thereby also the III, between a contract of the third tenders on a bar they entate at they tend.

COPY

1. WA Form 1 consisted of 30 R-C (radicalism-conservation) triplets, together with 30 authoritarianism equalitarianism (A-E) triplets and 28 Neutral Triplets. The criplets were presented in rundom order, and the tendency of the subjects to mark up was corrected by placing half of each type of words as the top response word. WA Form 1 was administered to 48 undergraduate students in an advanced psychology course, together with the other scales. An item analysis of the R-C triplets was made on two bases: here it is discrimitation power in relation to the C-R Optimairs and in Political to total second in the R-C triplets. Bix R-C items were dropped up the basis of this waiting and according to the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the scale was administered to another group of P undergraduate students, and the results were subjected to analysis in terms of intermal constitution (the constant of the constant with the highest discrimination value were retained for WA Form 3, in the word association triplet form developed in this study, and the constant of triplets form developed in this study, and the constant of the constant o

WA Form 3 and the C-R Opinionnaire were were administered to whitegraduate etc. The in introductory psychology classes. There were 133 cases in twist both the triple and the Opinionnaire forms were available, and the Pseasen's for these cases was a significant at better than the Ol confidence levels 104 items in the that the of the 20 R-C items successfully discriminated high and low-sections (C-R Opinionaire) and the internal (internal temporary (A from 3) criteria.

The correlation of .42 obtained as described above is not as fright as one would wish and is less than the r of .68 which Havron reported between his triplets and Allport-Vernon scores. Havron's correlation, however, was computed for the sevent retriplet form developed through successive trials and item analyses. The present ... Form 3 was the only third revision. It seems likely, that further bludy of the Rectriplets would produce an instrument with as high a degree of validity for Radicalise of Conservatism as Havron found for political-religious, values to the above by Havron, it is reliability of the triplet technique is satisfactory.

One problem commented upon by Havron was that his subjects often detected the casure of the task they were carrying out. In the later revisions of his triplet forms, Havron attempted to control this by introducing randomly some neutral or buffer items. The name technique was used throughout this study. WA Form 3 included 16 neutral items. In addition, it included 2 A-E triplets, designed to investiga's another attitude continuous (see below). Similar neutral and A-E items were contained in WA Forms 1 and 2.

The effectiveness of these controls was investigated with A Forms 1 and 2. At a the subjects (undergraduate in intermediate and advanced psychology courses) had completed these form, they were asked to write down what they thought was being measured by the cord association test. Only three of the 61 replies could be construed as approaching correctness. This suggests that in this study, at least, the subjects were unaware of that was being tested.

It may be concluded from the material presented so far that the and tenselian a triplet technique has validity as a measure of an additional attitude. The theory is a implications of this finding have been presented variety (0,0).

The Authoritarian - Equalitarian Continuum

This continuum was studied, because it has been extensively discussed in recet years and because the California F scale (1), designed to measure it, has been and is being widely used. Word association triplets (A-E) were developed and included in WA Form 1,2, and 3, as previously described. The California F scale was reproduce * and administered along with WA Forms 1 and 3, and the C-R Opionnaire. The same so jects were, of course, used as had been used in the R-C study.

Item analyses of the A-E triplets showed high internal discriminatory power which increased from "A Form 1 to WA Form 3. In relation to the F Scale, however, (the external criterion), discrimination power was low. The correlation between the scare for the A-E triplets (WA Form 3) and the F Scale for 78 subjects was al8, insignificant even at the a05 level.

It is not possible accurately to assess the reasons for the failure of WA For 3 to measure validly the attitudes measured by the F Scale. It may be that the A-E riplets are invalid, although they have high internal consistency and the distribution of cores on them is normal. Two features of the F-Scale are worthy of mention in this connection and may account for the lack of relationship. They likewise raise questions as to the value of the F-Scale.

The F-scale items are answered on a six-point scale, from Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree. The item scores are summed, and the sum is divided by the number of items. The resulting number is the scale position of the subject, and a strongly authority rian person should have a score near 6 and a strongly equalitarian person near 1. In our sample, only 10 of the 78 subjects scored above the mid-point of 3.5, and only one of the 10 scored as high as 5. Either the scale is dealing only with the lower end of the continuum or this group is heavily equalitarian in attitude. This markedly skewed distribution, however, would tend to reduce correlation.

The other feature of the F-scale was observed in an item analysis with F-scale high and low scores as the criterion. The purpose of this was to investigate the discriminatory power of the items in the F-scale, when the subjects in the highest and lowest quartiles (total score) were compared. The discriminatory power of a number of the items was low, and it would appear that the F-scale does not meet desirable standards of internal consistency. This fact, also, may account for the lack of relationship between the 1-E triplets and the F-scale.

It may be concluded, then, that the attempt to determine whather word association triplets would measure the attitude continuum of authoritarianism-equalitarianism failed. Questions have seen raised, however, concerning the adequacy of the F-scale as a criterion.

making the reason of the second second

^{*}Permission to reproduce the F-scale from The Authoritarian Personality (1) was given by Marper and Brothers Publishers. The writers wish to express their appreciation to the Publishers.

WA FORM 3

1.	LAW obedience	A~E	16.	SOCIAL prestige	A-E
2.	DEATH PENALTY Warranted inhuman	R-C	17.	UNDRESSED matural indecent	R-C
3.	SUN heat system	N	18.	CHARACTER reason will power	A-E
4-	SUCCESS satisfaction rich	A-E	19.	ANTAGONISM fight misunderstanding	A3
5 •	FREE love trade	R-C	20•	MAC ARTHUR ridiculous wronged	R-C
6.	PAINT pictures houses	N	21.	NOTRE DAME College football	N
7.	GENERAL Magarthur Eisenhover	A-E	22.	WILL POWER POPSOTOR	A-E
8-	ATHEISM Pagan enliptened	R-C	23.	CRIMINALS punish educate	R=0
9.	PAPER news theme	X	24.	LOVE food quiet	N
10-	GIVE orders	A-E	25.	DEVELOP self control understanding	A-E
11.	MERCY KILLING BACT'11egious	R _{-C}	26.	MISTRESS continental immoral	R-C
12.	TRY feil	N	27.	COLD weather	n
13.	LEADER authority responsibility	1-1	20.	INSULT forget	A-E
14.	NATIONALISM WAT empire	R-C	29.	HIROSHIMA justified shameful	R=C
15.	MUSIC restful stimulating	N	30.	AVOID criticism people	Ň

WA FORM 3 (cont'd)

31. CARRY OUT orders plans	A. -E	46. COMMAND troops	Α-
32. MORES question conform	R-C	47. ARMY protection regimentation	R+C
33. LIVE long	N	48. NE IROES inferior equal	R-C
34. Fight enemies disease	A-E	49. WORK for with	A- E
an		50. SEGREGATION unjust proper	R-C
35. PROTECT Minorities saving	₩∞C	51. WRITE Music poetry	N
36. REDUCE profits controls	R-(7	52. OBEDIENCE TO self superiors	A-E
37. UNIVERSU PROB . ilitary trainin	Z-A	53. RADICALISM impractical progressive	R-C
38. SOCIALISM equality restrictions	R-C	54. BE different average	R-C
39. CIGARETTE har ful relaxing	N	55. PROSTITUTE unfortunate immoral	A-E
40. ACCEPT authority		56. SECURITY Wealth peace	R-C
F6 -6-96	A-Z	57. SINGLE one unmarried	n
41. GANDIDATE Demporat Republican	R∽C	58. MEDICANE Socialised private	R-C
42. POGO POSSUM Stick	N	59. UNIONS threatening desirable	R-C
48. BE fair wealthy	%- 8	60. GAME tennis	X
44. UNITED mations states	R-C		
15. THIRE fast through	N	Time	

- 1. Adorno, T., Frenkel-Brunswick, E., Levinson, D., & Sanford, R.N. The Authoritarian Personality. Harper & Brothers, New York City, 1950.
- 2. Havron, M.D. <u>Verbal Behavior and Attitudes</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maryland, 1950.
- 3. Havron, M.D., & Cofer, C.N. <u>Measurement of Attitudes by a Simple Word</u>

 <u>Association Technique</u>. Duplicated ONR report, 1950.
- 4. Heintz, R. K. Ideological and Existential Behavior Correlates on a Conservatism-Radicalism Continuum. M.A. Thesis, Washington University, 1944.
- 5. Lentz, T. F. Manual for C-2 Opionnaire. St. Louis: Washington University Character Research Association, 1935.
- 6. Sanford, F. H. <u>Authoritarianism and Leadership</u>. Research Report of the Institute for Research in Human Relations, Philadelphia, 1950.

100

160 .

. . . .

1 1

in early. Ange

> estit. Reed

tonnit; stons

1)

is in the contract of the cont

· · /

£ ...

2011

J. 1135

TOP WILL CO

41.

17 * 14:

ACCS.

F000

BE

Server CKab

· · · T